

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/916,578	08/22/1997	ANDREW CLARK	2553.004	3404	
75	90 06/28/2002				
GOLDSTEIN & POLASEK, LLP			EXAMINER		
1177 W. LOOP 10TH FLOOR			JOYNES, ROBERT M		
HOUSTON, TX 77027			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	1615	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002 /9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		08/916,578		CLARK ET AL.			
·	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Robert M. Joynes		1615			
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A TH -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing partner of the property of the Communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howen within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to	over, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{11}$ .	<u>June 2002</u> .					
2a)	☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Dispo	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under sition of Claims						
4)	igotimes Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 10-19</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-9 is/are withdrawn	from consideration	on.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 10-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.				
Appli	cation Papers						
9)	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exar	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		-	• •			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.			
40	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		tion.				
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer.					
	ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 —						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		_				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	•			
14)[	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•				
15)	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachr	nent(s)						
2) 🔲 N	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 08/916,578

Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants' Continued Prosecution Application filed on June 11, 2002. The Examiner would like to note that no amendment or response to the Final Office Action dated March 18, 2002 was attached to the Request for Continued Prosecution Application.

# Reissue Applications

Claims 1-4 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See Hester Industries, Inc. v. Stein, Inc., 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Clement, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Ball Corp. v. United States, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251 and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicants are seeking a reissue of U.S. Patent Number 5,443,833 issued on August 22, 1995. U.S. Patent Number 5,443,833 contains Claims 1-3, which are drawn to a method of treating a reversible obstructive airway disease. The instant reissue application contains the same three claims as well as Claims 4-13. Claims 4-13 were

Application/Control Number: 08/916,578

Art Unit: 1615

present in the prosecution of the original application but were cancelled after a final rejection filed February 13, 1995. The scope of the Claims 4-13 is broader than Claims 1-3 being that Claims 4-13 are drawn to methods of treating conjunctivitis, keratitis, allergic eyes and anterior uveitis. These claims are drawn to subject matter that was surrendered during the original prosecution of the application.

Applicants' understanding of these facts is evidenced in their Reissue

Declarations filed with the instant application, more specifically in Paragraphs 7 and 8 of
the Declarations. Therefore, Claims 1-13 cannot be allowed in the instant reissue
application because the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent
cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 13, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the instant claims are narrowing in all aspects.

It is the position of the Examiner that the claims admittedly have distinguishing features but these features fail to patentably define or distinguish the claims over the scope of the claims that were cancelled in order to obtain the patent in the parent application.

Application/Control Number: 08/916,578

Art Unit: 1615

Again, the Examiner notes that no amendment or response to the Final Office Action dated March 18, 2002 was attached to the Request for Continued Prosecution Application filed on June 11, 2002.

#### Conclusion

This is a Continued Prosecution Application of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/916578. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Joynes whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 1615

308-8869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Robert M. Joynes Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 June 25, 2002

> THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISIONY PATEMI EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY GENTER 1600